arXiv:1404.0199v1 [math.CV] 1 Apr 2014

FREELY QUASICONFORMAL MAPS AND DISTANCE RATIO METRIC

YAXIANG LI, SAMINATHAN PONNUSAMY †, AND MATTI VUORINEN

Abstract. Suppose that E and E' denote real Banach spaces with dimension at least 2 and that $D \subset E$ and $D' \subset E'$ are domains. In this paper, we establish, in terms of the j_D metric, a necessary and sufficient condition for the homeomorphism $f : E \to E'$ to be FQC. Moreover, we give, in terms of the j_D metric, a sufficient condition for the homeomorphism $f : D \to D'$ to be FQC. On the other hand, we show that this condition is not necessary.

1. Introduction and main results

During the past few decades, modern mapping theory and the geometric theory of quasiconformal maps has been studied from several points of view. These studies include Heinonen's work on metric measure spaces [5], Koskela's study of maps with finite distortion [7] and Väisälä's work about quasiconformality in infinite dimensional Banach spaces [18, 19, 20, 21, 22]. Our study is motivated by Väisälä's theory of freely quasiconformal maps in the setup of Banach spaces [18, 19, 20]. The basic tools in Väisälä's theory are metrics and the notion of uniform continuity between metric spaces, in particular the norm metric, the quasihyperbolic metric and the distance ratio metric are used. We begin with some basic definitions and the statements of our results.

Throughout the paper, we always assume that E and E' denote real Banach spaces with dimension at least 2, and that $D \subset E$ and $D' \subset E'$ are domains. The norm of a vector z in E is written as $|z|$, and for each pair of points z_1, z_2 in E, the distance between them is denoted by $|z_1 - z_2|$. The distance from $z \in D$ to the boundary ∂D of D is denoted by $d_D(z)$.

For each pair of points z_1, z_2 in D, the *distance ratio metric* $j_D(z_1, z_2)$ between z_1 and z_2 is defined by

$$j_D(z_1, z_2) = \log\left(1 + \frac{|z_1 - z_2|}{\min\{d_D(z_1), d_D(z_2)\}}\right).$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 30C65, 30F45; Secondary: 30C20.

Key words and phrases. Quasihyperbolic metric, quasiconformal mapping, FQC mapping, distance ratio metric.

† This authors is on leave from the Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai-600 036, India.

† File: YaxiangSamyVuo2˙SuffCond˙fqc.tex, printed: 2014-4-2, 1.30.

The *quasihyperbolic length* of a rectifiable arc or a path α in the norm metric in D is the number (cf. [3, 18]):

$$\ell_k(\alpha) = \int_\alpha \frac{|dz|}{d_D(z)}.$$

For each pair of points z_1, z_2 in D, the *quasihyperbolic distance* $k_D(z_1, z_2)$ between z_1 and z_2 is defined in the usual way:

$$k_D(z_1, z_2) = \inf \ell_k(\alpha),$$

where the infimum is taken over all rectifiable arcs α joining z_1 to z_2 in D. Gehring and Palka [3] introduced the quasihyperbolic metric of a domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Many of the basic properties of this metric may be found in [2]. We remark that the quasihyperbolic metric has been recently studied by many people (cf. [4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 13, 14]).

Definition 1. Let $D \neq E$ and $D' \neq E'$ be metric spaces, and let $\varphi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a growth function, that is, a homeomorphism with $\varphi(t) \geq t$. We say that a homeomorphism $f : D \to D'$ is *φ-semisolid* if

$$k_{D'}(f(x), f(y)) \leq \varphi(k_D(x, y))$$

for all x, $y \in D$, and *φ-solid* if both f and f^{-1} satisfy this condition.

The special case $\varphi(t) = Mt$ $(M > 1)$ gives the M-quasihyperbolic maps or briefly M-QH. More precisely, f is called M-QH if

$$\frac{k_D(x, y)}{M} \leq k_{D'}(f(x), f(y)) \leq M k_D(x, y)$$

for all x and y in D.

We say that f is *fully φ-semisolid* (resp. *fully φ-solid*) if f is φ-semisolid (resp. φ-solid) on every subdomain of D. In particular, when $D = E$, the subdomains are taken to be proper ones in D. Fully φ-solid mappings are also called *freely φ-quasiconformal mappings*, or briefly φ-FQC mappings.

If $E = E' = \mathbb{R}^n$, then f is FQC if and only if f is quasiconformal (cf. [18]). See [1, 15, 25] for definitions and properties of K-quasiconformal mappings, or briefly K-QC mappings.

It is well-known that for all z_1, z_2 in D, we have (cf. [18])

$$j_D(z_1, z_2) \leq \inf \log \left(1 + \frac{\ell(\alpha)}{\min\{d_D(z_1), d_D(z_2)\}}\right) \leq \inf \ell_k(\alpha) = k_D(z_1, z_2), \tag{1.1}$$

where α is a rectifiable arc joining z_1 and z_2 in D. Hence, in the study of FQC maps, it is natural to ask whether we could use j_D metric to describe FQC or not. In fact, we get the following conditions for a homeomorphism to be FQC.

Theorem 1. *A homeomorphism $f : E \to E'$ is φ_1-FQC if and only if for every proper subdomain $D \subset E$, we have*

$$\varphi_2^{-1}(j_D(x, y)) \leq j_{D'}(f(x), f(y)) \leq \varphi_2(j_D(x, y)) \tag{1.2}$$

with $x, y \in D$, where φ_1 and φ_2 are self-homeomorphisms of $[0, \infty)$ with $\varphi_i(t) \geq t$ $(i = 1, 2)$ for all t, and φ_1, φ_2 depend only on each other.

Theorem 2. *Let $\varphi : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ be a homeomorphism with $\varphi(t) \geq t$ for all t, and let $f : D \subsetneq E \to D' \subsetneq E'$ be a homeomorphism. If for every subdomain $D_1 \subset D$, we have*

$$\varphi^{-1}(j_{D_1}(x,y)) \leq j_{D_1'}(f(x),f(y)) \leq \varphi(j_{D_1}(x,y)) \tag{1.3}$$

for all $x, y \in D_1$, then f is φ_1-FQC with $\varphi_1 = \varphi_1(\varphi)$. Moreover, if $D_1 = D$ and $\varphi(t) = Mt$ ($M \geq 1$), then f is M_1-QH with $M_1 = M_1(M)$.

Theorem 3. *The converse of Theorem 2 is not true.*

The proofs of Theorems 1, 2 and 3 will be given in Section 3. In Section 2, some necessary preliminaries will be introduced.

2. Preliminaries

For an open ball with center $x \in E$ and radius $r > 0$ we use the notation $\mathbb{B}(x,r)$. The boundary of the ball is denoted by $\mathbb{S}(x,r)$. The closed line segment with endpoints $z_1 \in E$ and $z_2 \in E$ is denoted by $[z_1, z_2]$.

For convenience, in what follows, we always assume that x, y, z, ... denote points in D and x', y', z', ... the images in D' of x, y, z, ... under f, respectively. Also we assume that α, β, γ, ... denote curves in D and α', β', γ', ... the images in D' of α, β, γ, ... under f, respectively.

Definition 2. A domain D in E is called *c-uniform* in the norm metric provided there exists a constant c with the property that each pair of points z_1, z_2 in D can be joined by a rectifiable arc α in D satisfying (cf. [12, 17, 19])

(1) $\min\limits_{j=1,2} \ell(\alpha[z_j, z]) \leq c\, d_D(z)$ for all $z \in \alpha$, and
(2) $\ell(\alpha) \leq c\,|z_1 - z_2|$,

where $\ell(\alpha)$ denotes the length of α, $\alpha[z_j, z]$ the part of α between z_j and z.

It is well known that each ball $B \subset E$ is 2-uniform and a half space $H \subset E$ is c-uniform for all $c > 2$ (cf. [22, Example 10.4]).

In [19], Väisälä characterized uniform domains by the quasihyperbolic metric.

Theorem A. ([19, Theorem 6.16]) *For a domain D in E, the following are quantitatively equivalent:*

(1) *D is a c-uniform domain;*
(2) $k_D(z_1,z_2) \leq c' \log\left(1 + \dfrac{|z_1 - z_2|}{\min\{d_D(z_1), d_D(z_2)\}}\right)$ *for every pair of points z_1, $z_2 \in D$;*
(3) $k_D(z_1,z_2) \leq c_1' \log\left(1 + \dfrac{|z_1 - z_2|}{\min\{d_D(z_1), d_D(z_2)\}}\right) + d$ *for every pair of points z_1, $z_2 \in D$.*

In the case of domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$, the equivalence of items (1) and (3) in Theorem D is due to Gehring and Osgood [2] and the equivalence of items (2) and (3) due to Vuorinen [24].

Theorem B. ([19, Lemma 6.7]) *Suppose that D is a c-uniform domain and that $x_0 \in D$. Then $D_0 = D \setminus \{x_0\}$ is c_0-uniform with $c_0 = c_0(c)$.*

Theorem C. ([19, Lemma 6.5]) *For $x_0 \in E$, $E \setminus \{x_0\}$ is 10-uniform.*

For the case of $G = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ the sharp constant d in the inequality $k_G(x,y) \le d j_G(x,y)$ was found by H. Linden [11], and it is $\pi/\log 3$.

Recall that an arc α from z_1 to z_2 is a *quasihyperbolic geodesic* if $\ell_k(\alpha) = k_D(z_1, z_2)$. Each subarc of a quasihyperbolic geodesic is obviously a quasihyperbolic geodesic. It is known that a quasihyperbolic geodesic between every pair of points in E exists if the dimension of E is finite, see [2, Lemma 1]. This is not true in arbitrary spaces (cf. [21, Example 2.9]). In order to remedy this shortcoming, Väisälä introduced the following concepts [19].

Definition 3. Let $D \neq E$ and $c \ge 1$. An arc $\alpha \subset D$ is a c-neargeodesic if and only if $\ell_k(\alpha[x,y]) \le c\, k_D(x,y)$ for all $x, y \in \alpha$.

In [19], Väisälä proved the following property concerning the existence of neargeodesics in E.

Theorem D. ([19, Theorem 3.3]) *Let $\{z_1, z_2\} \subset D$ and $c > 1$. Then there is a c-neargeodesic in D joining z_1 and z_2.*

A map $f : X \to Y$ is *uniformly continuous* [18, Section 3.1] if and only if there is $t_0 \in (0, \infty]$ and an embedding $\varphi : [0, t_0) \to [0, \infty)$ with $\varphi(0) = 0$ such that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le \varphi(|x - y|)$$

whenever $x, y \in X$ and $|x - y| \le t_0$. We then say that f is (φ, t_0)-*uniformly continuous*. If $t_0 = \infty$, we briefly say that f is φ-*uniformly continuous*.

Lemma E. ([18, Lemma 3.2]) *Let X be c-quasiconvex and let $f : X \to Y$ be a map. Then the following conditions are quantitatively equivalent:*

(1) *f is (φ, t_0)-uniformly continuous;*
(2) *f is φ-uniformly continuous.*

In [18], Väisälä proved the following theorem.

Theorem F. ([18, Theorem 5.13]) *Suppose that $f : E \to D' \subset E'$ is fully φ-semisolid. Then*

(1) *$D' = E'$;*
(2) *f is ϕ-FQC with $\psi = \psi_\varphi$;*
(3) *f is η-QS with $\eta = \eta_\varphi$.*

3. The proofs of Theorems 1, 2 and 3

Before the proofs of our main results, we list a series of lemmas. From the proof of Theorem 5.7 and summary 5.11 in [18], we get the following.

Lemma G. *Let $\varphi : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ be a homeomorphism with $\varphi(t) \geq t$ for all t. Suppose that $f : D \to D'$ is a homeomorphism. If for every point $x \in D$, $f : D \setminus \{x\} \to D' \setminus \{x'\}$ is φ-solid, then f is ψ-*FQC *with $\psi = \psi(\varphi)$.*

Lemma H. ([18, Lemma 6.25]) *Suppose that $D \neq E$, $D' \neq E'$ and that $f : D \to D'$ is a θ-*QM *homeomorphism (for definition see* [16, 18]*). Then*

$$j_{D'}(x,y) \leq M j_D(x,y) + C$$

for all $x, y \in D$ with M and C depending only on θ.

The following result is from [16].

Lemma I. ([16, Theorem 3.2]) *Suppose that $f : X \to Y$ is η-*QS*. Then f is θ-*QM *where θ depends only on η.*

Lemma 1. *Suppose that $f : E \to E'$ is φ-*FQC*. Then for every proper subdomain $D \subset E$,*

$$j_{D'}(x,y) \leq c j_D(x,y) + d$$

with $x, y \in D$, where c, d are constants depending only on φ.

Proof. By Theorem F, we know that f is η-QS with η depending only on φ. Then for every proper subdomain $D \subset E$, $f : D \to D'$ is an η-QS homeomorphism. Hence, Lemma 1 follows from Lemmas H and I. □

Lemma 2. *Let $D \subset E$ be a domain, and let $x, y \in D$. If $|x-y| \leq s d_D(x)$ for some $s \in (0,1)$, then*

$$k_{B_x}(x,y) \leq \frac{1}{1-s} \log\Big(1 + \frac{|x-y|}{d_D(x)}\Big),$$

where $B_x = \mathbb{B}(x, d_D(x))$.

Proof. For each $w \in [x,y]$, we have $d_{B_x}(w) \geq d_D(x) - |x-w|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} k_{B_x}(x,y) &\leq \int_{[x,y]} \frac{|dw|}{d_{B_x}(w)} \leq \int_{d_D(x)-|x-y|}^{d_D(x)} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= \log\left(1 + \frac{|x-y|}{d_D(x) - |x-y|}\right) \leq \log\left(1 + \frac{|x-y|}{(1-s) d_D(x)}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1-s} \log\left(1 + \frac{|x-y|}{d_D(x)}\right), \end{aligned}$$

from which our lemma follows. □

We remark that when $E = \mathbb{R}^n$, Lemma 2 coincides with Lemma 3.7 in [25].

Now we are ready to prove our main results.

3.1. **The proof of Theorem 1.** We first prove the sufficient part and we assume that $f : E \to E'$ is φ_1-FQC. Let D be a proper subdomain in E. For $x, y \in D$, by symmetry, to prove (1.2), we only need to prove the right hand side inequality. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $d_D(x) \le d_D(y)$.

If $|x-y| \le \frac{1}{2} d_D(x)$, let $B_x = \mathbb{B}(x, d_D(x))$. Then (1.1) and Lemma 2 show that

$$\begin{aligned} j_{D'}(x', y') &\le k_{D'}(x', y') \le \varphi_1(k_D(x, y)) \\ &\le \varphi_1(k_{B_x}(x, y)) \le \varphi_1\left(2\log\left(1 + \frac{|x-y|}{d_D(x)}\right)\right) \\ &\le \varphi_1(2j_D(x, y)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

If $|x-y| > \frac{1}{2} d_D(x)$, then

$$j_D(x, y) = \log\left(1 + \frac{|x-y|}{d_D(x)}\right) > \log(3/2),$$

which, together with Lemma 1, shows that

$$j_{D'}(x', y') \le c j_D(x, y) + d \le (c + d/\log(3/2))\, j_D(x, y), \tag{3.2}$$

where c and d are constants depending only on φ_1.

Hence, by (3.1) and (3.2), inequality (1.2) holds with $\varphi_2(t) = \max\{\varphi_1(2t), \big(c + d/\log(3/2)\big)t\}$.

In the following, we prove the necessary part. For fixed $a \in E$, let $D_a = E \setminus \{a\}$. Then from Theorem C that both D_a and D_a' are c-uniform with $c = 10$. Hence, Theorem A yields that there is a universal constant c' such that for each pair of points $x, y \in D_a$,

$$k_{D_a'}(x', y') \le c' j_{D_a'}(x', y') \ \text{ and } \ k_{D_a}(x, y) \le c' j_{D_a}(x, y).$$

Therefore, it follows from (1.1) and (1.2) that

$$\varphi_2^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{c'} k_{D_a}(x, y)\right) \le k_{D_a'}(x', y') \le c' \varphi_2(k_{D_a}(x, y)),$$

which shows that f is $c'\varphi_2$-solid in D_a, and so from Lemma G that $f : E \to E'$ is φ_1-FQC with φ_1 depending only on φ_2.

Hence the proof of Theorem 1 is complete. □

3.2. **The proof of Theorem 2.** We first prove the first part. Let D_1 be a subdomain of D. By symmetry, we only need to prove that there exists a homeomorphism φ_0 such that for each pair of points $x, y \in D_1$,

$$k_{D_1'}(x', y') \le \varphi_0(k_{D_1}(x, y)). \tag{3.3}$$

Choose $0 < t_0 < 1$ such that $\varphi(t_0) \le \log(3/2)$. Let $x, y \in D_1$ be points with $k_{D_1}(x, y) \le t_0$. Then (1.3) gives

$$j_{D_1'}(x', y') \le \varphi(j_{D_1}(x, y)) \le \varphi(k_{D_1}(x, y)) \le \log(3/2),$$

which shows that

$$|x' - y'| \le \frac{1}{2} d_{D_1'}(x').$$

Let $B_{x'} = \mathbb{B}(x', d_{D_1'}(x'))$. Then by Lemma 2, (1.1)and (1.3) , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} k_{D_1'}(x', y') &\leq k_{B_{x'}}(x', y') \leq 2\log\left(1 + \frac{|x'-y'|}{d_{D_1'}(x')}\right) \\ &\leq 2j_{D_1'}(x', y') \leq 2\varphi(j_{D_1}(x, y)) \\ &\leq 2\varphi(k_{D_1}(x, y)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we see from Lemma E that (3.3) holds.

Now, we prove the second part. Let $a = 1 - e^{-\frac{1}{3M}}$. We only need to prove that there exists a constant $M_1 = M_1(M)$ such that for each pair of points x, $y \in D$,

$$k_{D'}(x', y') \leq M_1 k_D(x, y). \tag{3.4}$$

We divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1. $|x - y| \leq a d_D(x)$.

Then

$$d_D(y) \geq d_D(x) - |x - y| \geq (1 - a) d_D(x).$$

Hence,

$$j_{D'}(x', y') \leq M j_D(x, y) = M \log\left(1 + \frac{|x-y|}{\min\{d_D(x), d_D(y)\}}\right) \leq \frac{1}{3},$$

which shows that

$$|x' - y'| < \frac{1}{2} d_{D'}(x').$$

Let $B_x' = \mathbb{B}(x', d_{D_1'}(x'))$. Then Lemma 2 yields that

$$\begin{aligned} k_{D'}(x', y') &\leq k_{B_{x'}}(x', y') \leq 2\log\left(1 + \frac{|x'-y'|}{d_{D'}(x')}\right) \\ &\leq 2j_{D'}(x', y') \leq 2M j_{D_1}(x, y) \\ &\leq 2M k_D(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $|x - y| \geq a d_D(x)$.

It follows from Theorem D that there exists a 2-neargeodesic γ in D joining x and y. Let $x = z_1$, and let z_2 be the first intersection point of γ with $\mathbb{S}(z_1, a d_D(z_1))$ in the direction from x to y. We let z_3 be the first intersection point of γ with $\mathbb{S}(z_2, a d_D(z_2))$ in the direction from z_2 to y. By repeating this procedure, we get a set $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^p$ of points in γ such that y is contained in $\overline{\mathbb{B}}(z_p, a d_D(z_p))$, but not in $\overline{\mathbb{B}}(z_{p-1}, a d_D(z_{p-1}))$. Obviously, $p > 1$. Hence Case 1 yields

$$\begin{aligned} k_{D'}(x', y') &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} k_{D'}(z_i', z_{i+1}') + k_D(z_p', y') \\ &\leq 2M \left(\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} k_D(z_i, z_{i+1}) + k_D(z_p, y)\right) \\ &\leq 2M \ell_k(\gamma[x, y]) \\ &\leq 4M\, k_D(x, y). \end{aligned}$$



FIGURE 1. γ' is a quasihyperbolic geodesic joining x_0' and y_0' in D'

Hence, inequality (3.4) holds, which complete the proof of Theorem 2. □

3.3. **The proof of Theorem 3.** We prove this theorem by presenting two examples.

Example 3.5. Let $E = \mathbb{R}^2 \cong \mathbb{C}$ and f be a conformal mapping of the unit disk $\mathbb{B}(0,1) = \{z : |z| < 1\} (= D)$ onto $D' = \mathbb{B}(0,1) \setminus [0,1)$. There exist points $x, y \in D$ such that (1.3) does not hold.

Proof. By [2, Theorem 3], we know that conformal mapping is M-QH mapping for some constant $M \geq 1$. Hence, f is φ-FQC with $\varphi(t) = Mt$.

Now, we prove that there is some domain $D_1 \subset D$ such that (1.3) fails to hold. Let $w_0' = (-\frac{1}{2}, 0) \in D'$ and let $D_1 = D \setminus \{w_0\}$. Since each ball is 2-uniform, Theorem B yields that D_1 is c_1-uniform, where c_1 is an universal constant. For each pair of points $x, y \in D_1$, we have

$$\frac{k_D(x,y)}{M} \leq k_{D'}(x', y') \leq M k_D(x,y). \tag{3.6}$$

Take x_0', $y_0' \in D_1'$ with $x_0' = (\frac{1}{2}, t)$ and $y_0' = (\frac{1}{2}, -t)$ (see Figure 1). Let γ' be a quasihyperbolic geodesic joining x' and y' in D'. Then by (1.1),

$$k_{D'}(x_0', y_0') \geq \log\left(1 + \frac{\ell(\gamma')}{d_{D'}(x_0')}\right) \geq \log(1 + \frac{1}{t}),$$

and

$$j_{D_1'}(x_0', y_0') = \log\left(1 + \frac{|x_0' - y_0'|}{\min\{d_{D_1'}(x_0'), d_{D_1'}(y_0')\}}\right) = \log 3.$$

But (3.6) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} j_{D_1}(x_0, y_0) &\geq \frac{1}{c_1} k_{D_1}(x_0, y_0) \geq \frac{1}{c_1} k_D(x_0, y_0) \geq \frac{1}{c_1 M} k_{D'}(x_0', y_0') \\ &\geq \frac{1}{c_1 M} \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{t}\right) \to \infty, \ \text{ as } \ t \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there is no self-homeomorphism φ of $[0, \infty)$ such that (1.3) hold. The proof of Example 3.5 is complete.

Note that we could also choose $D_1 = D$, and conclude from a similar proof that (1.3) does not hold. □

Example 3.7. Let E be an infinite-dimensional separable real Hilbert space with an orthonormal basis $(e_j)_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}$ where all vectors e_j have a constant norm. Setting $\gamma'_j = [e_{j-1}, e_j]$ we obtain the infinite broken line $\gamma' = \cup\{\gamma'_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Let γ denote the line spanned by e_1, and let $D = \gamma + \mathbb{B}(r)$ with $r \leq \frac{1}{10}$ and f be a locally M-bilipschitz homeomorphism from D onto a neighborhood D' of γ' (for a detailed explanation we refer to see [18, section 4.12] or [23]). Then there exist points $x, y \in D$ such that (1.3) does not hold.

Proof. By [18, Theorem 4.8], we obtain that f is M^2-QH. Let $x, y \in D$ with $x = \sqrt{2}e_1$, $y = m\sqrt{2}e_1$. Then $d_D(x) = d_D(y) = r$. Because f is locally M-bilipschitz, we get

$$d_{D'}(x') \geq \frac{r}{M} \text{ and } d_{D'}(y') \geq \frac{r}{M}.$$

Since $D' \subset \mathbb{B}(0, 2)$, we find that

$$j_{D'}(x', y') = \log\left(1 + \frac{|x' - y'|}{\min\{d_{D'}(x'), d_{D'}(y')\}}\right) \leq \log\left(1 + \frac{4M}{r}\right),$$

but

$$j_D(x, y) = \log\left(1 + \frac{|x - y|}{\min\{d_D(x), d_D(y)\}}\right) = \log\left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}(m-1)}{r}\right) \to \infty,$$

as $m \to \infty$. Hence (1.3) does not hold. □

Acknowledgements. The first author was partially supported by a grant from Simons Foundation and Talent Introduction Foundation of Central South University of Forestry and Technology (No. 2013RJ005). This research was completed during the visit of the first author to Indian Statistical Institute (ISI) Chennai Centre, India. She thanks ISI Chennai Centre for the hospitality and the other supports.

References

1. G. D. Anderson, M. K. Vamanamurthy and M. Vuorinen, Dimension-free quasiconformal distortion in n-space. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.,* **297**(1986), 687–706.
2. F. W. Gehring and B. G. Osgood, Uniform domains and the quasi-hyperbolic metric, *J. Analyse Math.,* **36**(1979), 50–74.
3. F. W. Gehring and B. P. Palka, Quasiconformally homogeneous domains, *J. Analyse Math.,* **30**(1976), 172–199.
4. p. Hästö, Z. Ibragimov, D. Minda, S. Ponnusamy and S. K. Sahoo, Isometries of some hyperbolic-type path metrics, and the hyperbolic medial axis. (English summary) In the tradition of Ahlfors-Bers, IV, *Contemporary Math.,* **432**(2007), 63–74.
5. J. Heinonen, Lectures on analysis on metric spaces, *Springer,* 2001.
6. M. Huang, S. Ponnusamy, H. Wang and X. Wang, A cosine inequality in hyperbolic geometry, *Appl. Math. Lett.,* **23**(2010),887–891.
7. J. Kauhanen, P. Koskela and J. Malý, Mappings of finite distortion: discreteness and openness, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.,* **160**(2001),135–151.
8. R. Klén, Local convexity properties of quasihyperbolic balls in punctured space, *J. Math. Anal. Appl. J,* **342**(2008),192–201.

9. R. Klén, On hyperbolic type metrics. Dissertation, University of Turku, Turku, 2009. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. Diss* **152**(2009), 49pp.
10. R. Klén, A. Rasila, and J. Talponen, Quasihyperbolic geometry in euclidean and Banach spaces, Proc. ICM2010 Satellite Conf. International Workshop on Harmonic and Quasiconformal Mappings (HMQ2010), eds. D. Minda, S. Ponnusamy, N. Shanmugalingam, J. Analysis, **18**(2011), 261–278.
11. H. Lindén, Quasihyperbolic geodesics and uniformity in elementary domains, Dissertation, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, 2005. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. Diss.* No. **146** (2005), 50 pp.
12. O. Martio and J. Sarvas, Injectivity theorems in plane and space, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.,* **4**(1978), 383–401.
13. A. Rasila and J. Talponen, Convexity properties of quasihyperbolic balls on Banach spaces, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.,* **37**(2012), 215–228.
14. A. Rasila and J. Talponen, On quasihyperbolic geodesics in Banach spaces, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.*, **39**(2014), 163–173.
15. J. Väisälä, Lectures on n-dimensional quasiconformal mappings, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
16. J. Väisälä, Quasimöbius maps, *J. Analyse Math.,* **44**(1985), 218–234.
17. J. Väisälä, Uniform domains, *Tohoku Math. J.,* **40**(1988), 101–118.
18. J. Väisälä, Free quasiconformality in Banach spaces. I, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.,* **15**(1990), 355–379.
19. J. Väisälä, Free quasiconformality in Banach spaces. II, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.,* **16**(1991), 255–310.
20. J. Väisälä, Free quasiconformality in Banach spaces. III, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* **17**(1992), 393–408.
21. J. Väisälä, Relatively and inner uniform domains, *Conformal Geom. Dyn.,* **2**(1998), 56–88.
22. J. Väisälä, The free quasiworld: freely quasiconformal and related maps in Banach spaces. *Quasiconformal geometry and dynamics* (*Lublin 1996*)*, Banach Center Publications, Vol. 48, Polish Academy of Science, Warsaw*, 1999, 55–118.
23. J. Väisälä, Broken Tubes in Hilbert Spaces, *Analysis,* **24**(2004), 227–238.
24. M. Vuorinen, Conformal invariants and quasiregular mappings, *J. Analyse Math.,* **45**(1985), 69–115.
25. M. Vuorinen, Conformal geometry and quasiregular mappings, *Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 1319, Springer-Verlag,* 1988.

Y. Li, College of Science, Central South University of Forestry and Technology, Changsha, Hunan 410004, People's Republic of China
E-mail address: yaxiangli@163.com

S. Ponnusamy, Indian Statistical Institute (ISI), Chennai Centre, SETS (Society for Electronic Transactions and security), MGR Knowledge City, CIT Campus, Taramani, Chennai 600 113, India.
E-mail address: samy@isichennai.res.in, samy@iitm.ac.in

Matti Vuorinen Department of Mathematics, University of Turku, FIN-20014 Turku, Finland.
E-mail address: vuorinen@utu.fi

arXiv:1404.0199v1 [math.CV] 1 Apr 2014